

Appln. No. Serial No. 09/815,420
Amdt. Dated 6/16/05
Second Response in Appln, Reply to Office Action of 02/17/2005
Page 12 of 12

REMARKS

Upon entry of the foregoing amendments, Claims 2-13, 15-26 and 39-42 are pending in this application. The Examiner rejected Claims 1-10, 12, 14-23, 25, 27-31, 33, and 35-37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), rejected Claims 11, 13, 24, 26, 32 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and allowed Claims 39 and 40. Claims 1-2, 14-15 and 27-38 have been cancelled, new dependent Claims 41 and 42 have been added, and Claims 2-7 and 15-20 have been amended such that Claims 2-13, 15-26, and 41-42 directly or indirectly depend from independent Claims 39 or 40. Claims 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 39, and 40 have been amended to clarify the invention. Accordingly, this application should now be in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. This application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants solicit a notice to that effect. If there are any issues that can be addressed via telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Brenda O. Holmes
Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Telephone: (404) 815-6500
Facsimile: (404) 815-6555
Our Docket: 44471-255858

ATLLIB02 124095.2